G. LEWIS CHARTRAND General Counsel Bar No. 124389 DEBRA L. DENTON Assistant Chief Counsel, Bar No. 164482 3 ELLEN J. RIDDELL Senior Counsel, Bar No. 187382 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGED HEALTH CARE 5 980 Ninth Street, Suite 500 Sacramento, CA 95814 6 Telephone: (916) 323-0435 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF MANAGED HEALTH CARE 9 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: OAH No. GE DENTAL AND VISION d/b/a 11 Enforcement Matter No. 02-074 Smilesaver, formerly known as GE WELLNESS PLAN DENTAL AND 12 VISION and GREATER CALIFORNIA DENTAL PLAN. 13 ACCUSATION TO ASSESS ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY Respondent. 14 15 INTRODUCTION 16 This case is brought pursuant to the Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act of 17 1975, as amended (California Health and Safety Code section 1340 et seq.) (the "Act") and 18 is based on the failure of GE Dental and Vision (the "Respondent") to file with the Director 19 of the Department of Managed Health Care (the "Department") its January 1, 2001 contract 20 with Signature Financial Marketing, Inc., as required by Health and Safety Code section 21 1352 and California Code of Regulations, title 28, section 1300.51 Exhibit F-2. The case is 22 23 also based on Respondent's failure to respond to the Department's three requests for information about its agreement with General Electric which the Department made during 24 25 its 2000 financial examination of Respondent. (See Health & Saf. Code § 1382 and Cal. Code of Regs., tit. 28, § 1300.82). 26 /// 27

-1-

28

ACCUSATION [02-074]

///

PARTIES

- 1. G. Lewis Chartrand (hereinafter the "Complainant") is the General Counsel for the Department of Managed Health Care. The Complainant brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as General Counsel for the Department.
- 2. At all times pertinent to the allegations herein, the Respondent has been a health care service plan, as defined by Health and Safety Code section 1345, subdivision (f), and is subject to the regulatory provisions of the Act. The Respondent is the holder of a specialized health care service plan license number 933-0136, which was issued on January 28, 1981, by the Commissioner of the Department of Corporations, predecessor to the Director of the Department of Managed Health Care. The Respondent's principal corporate office is located at 30851 Agoura Road, Suite 100, Agoura Hills, California 91301-4343.
- 3. Respondent is a wholly owned subsidiary of Signature Financial Marketing, Inc. Signature Financial Marketing, Inc.'s ultimate parent corporation is General Electric Company ("General Electric").

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Managed Health Care (hereinafter the "Director") under the following provisions of the Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder at California Code of Regulations, title 28.
- 5. The Knox-Keene Act was enacted in 1975 to provide state regulation of health care service plans. The Act was amended in 1999 to create the Department of Managed Health Care within the California Business, Transportation, and Housing Agency. The Department has charge of the execution of the laws of the state relating to health care

ACCUSATION [02-074]

-2-

¹ Effective July 1, 2000, the Department of Managed Health Care succeeded to all duties, powers, responsibilities, and jurisdiction of the Department of Corporations as they related to Corporations' Health Plan Program, health care service plans and the health care service plan business. (See Health & Safety Code § 1341.9.)

///

service plans. Health and Safety Code section 1341 vests the Director with the responsibility for the administration and enforcement of the provisions of the Act and Rules.

- 6. Health and Safety Code section 1386, subdivision (a) authorizes the Director to take disciplinary action against a health care service plan, including but not limited to, the assessment of administrative penalties against the plan, if the Director determines, after appropriate notice and opportunity to be heard, that the plan has committed any of the acts or omissions which constitute grounds for disciplinary action set forth in the Act and Rules.
 - 7. Health and Safety Code section 1386, subdivision (b)(6) states:

[T]he following acts or omissions constitute grounds for disciplinary action by the director . . . [¶] (6) The plan has violated or attempted to violate, or conspired to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisted in or abetted a violation or conspiracy to violate any provision of this chapter or any rule or regulation adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

8. Health and Safety Code section 1351 provides that:

Each application for licensure as a health care service plan or specialized health care service plan under this chapter shall be verified by an authorized representative of the applicant, and shall be in a form prescribed by the department. Such application shall be accompanied by the fee prescribed by subdivision (a) of Section 1356 and shall set forth or be accompanied by each and all of the following: . . . ¶ (o) Evidence of adequate insurance coverage or self-insurance to respond to claims for damages arising out of the furnishing of health care services; . . . ¶ (p) Evidence of adequate insurance coverage or self-insurance to protect against losses of facilities where required by the director. . . ¶ (q) If required by the director by rule pursuant to Section 1376, a fidelity bond or a surety bond in the amount prescribed. . . ¶ (r) Evidence of adequate workmen's compensation insurance coverage to protect against claims arising out of work-related injuries that might be brought by the employees and staff of a plan against the plan.

9. Health and Safety Code section 1352, subdivision (a) provides, in relevant part, that:

A licensed plan shall, within 30 days after any change in the information contained in its application, other than financial or statistical information, file an amendment thereto in the manner the director may by rule prescribe setting forth the changed information.

ACCUSATION [02-074]

13. California Code of Regulations, title 28, section 1300.82 states:

Regular and additional or nonroutine examinations conducted by the Department pursuant to Section 1382 will ordinarily be commenced on an unannounced basis. To the extent feasible, deficiencies noted will be called to the attention of the responsible officers of the company under examination during the course of the examination, and in that event the company should take the corrective action indicated. When deemed appropriate, the company will be advised by letter of the deficiencies noted upon the examination. If the deficiency letter requires a report from the company, such report must be furnished within 15 days or such additional time as may be allowed.

14. As described in detail below, the Respondent is subject to disciplinary action and the assessment of an administrative penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 1386, subdivision (a), for multiple violations of Health and Safety Code section 1352 and California Code of Regulations, title 28, sections 1300.51 Exhibit F-2 and 1300.82.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. In late 2000, the Department conducted a routine financial examination of Respondent. During the financial examination, the Department's examiner discovered that Respondent had delegated to General Electric the responsibility of obtaining and maintaining the insurance coverage which Respondent is required to maintain as a condition of its health care service plan license.
- 16. The Department's examiner was unable to locate a written agreement between Respondent and General Electric which memorialized General Electric's obligation to maintain Respondent's insurance coverage.
- 17. On July 10, 2001, the Department issued its Preliminary Report of the routine financial examination. In the Preliminary Report, the Department requested that Respondent provide the Department with the date on which Respondent filed a copy of the agreement with General Electric. Respondent was required to respond to the Preliminary Report within 45 days of the date that the Preliminary Report was issued.

- 18. On August 31, 2001, Respondent filed its response to the Preliminary Report. Respondent failed to respond to the Department's request for the date Respondent filed its agreement with General Electric with the Department.
- 19. On January 4, 2002, the Department issued its Final Report of the routine financial examination. The Department again requested that Respondent provide it with the date Respondent filed its agreement with General Electric with the Department. Respondent was required to respond to the Final Report within 30 days of the date the Final Report was issued.
 - 20. Respondent again failed to respond to this request.
- 21. On April 10, 2002, the Department sent a letter to Respondent requesting, for the third time, that Respondent provide the Department with the date it filed its agreement with General Electric with the Department. Respondent was required to respond to this letter within 30 days.
 - 22. For the third time, Respondent failed to respond to this request.
- 23. On September 5, 2002, the Department issued a subpoena duces tecum ordering Respondent to produce its agreement with General Electric.
- 24. On October 3, 2002, Respondent produced a copy of a contract, entered into between Respondent and Signature Financial Marketing, Inc. ("SFM"), in which SFM promises to maintain and obtain insurance on behalf of Respondent, effective January 1, 2001.
- 25. This contract states that SFM shall obtain and maintain all of Respondent's required insurance coverage through an arrangement with SFM's and Respondent's ultimate parent, General Electric. This contract also states that whether or not SFM maintains a relationship with General Electric, SFM will obtain and maintain all required insurance coverage for Respondent.
- 26. Respondent formally filed its contract with SFM with the Department on October 15, 2002.

28 | \\\

10

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

RESPONDENT FAILED TO FILE AN AMENDMENT TO ITS LICENSE APPLICATION, AS REQUIRED BY HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 1352 AND CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 28, SECTION 1300.51 EXHIBIT F-2.

- 27. The Respondent is subject to the assessment of an administrative penalty for its violation of Health and Safety Code section 1352, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 28, section 1300.51 Exhibit F-2. SFM is Respondent's parent corporation, and so, is an "affiliate" of Respondent, as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 28, section 1300.45, subdivision (c). Thus, Respondent was required to file its contract with SFM with the Department. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 28, § 1300.51 Exhibit F-2; see Health & Saf. Code § 1352(a).)
- 28. Respondent was also required to file its contract with SFM, and a description of its delegation of its responsibility to obtain and maintain the required insurance coverage to SFM, with the Department as an amendment to its license application within 30 days after it entered into the contract. (See Health & Saf. Code § 1352(a).)
- 29. Respondent entered into the contract January 1, 2001, but did not file it with the Department until October 15, 2002.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE RESPONDENT FAILED TO RESPOND TO THE DEPARTMENT'S

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION, AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 28, SECTION 1300.82.

- 30. The Respondent is subject to the assessment of an administrative penalty for its violation of California Code of Regulations, title 28, section 1300.82, when Respondent failed to respond to the Department's three requests for the date Respondent filed its agreement with General Electric with the Department, as follows:
 - Respondent was required to file this information within 45 days of the a. date the Department issued its Preliminary Report;
 - b. Respondent was required to file this information within 30 days of the date the Department issued its Final Report; and

- c. Respondent was required to file this information within 30 days of the Department's April 10, 2002 letter.
- 31. Respondent did not provide the requested information to the Department until after the Department ordered Respondent to do so by subpoena duces tecum issued September 6, 2002.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 32. The Director has the discretion, pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code section 1386, subdivision (a), to assess administrative penalties and/or to suspend or revoke the license of a health care service plan for violations of the Act.
- 33. In seeking an assessment of an administrative penalty of \$7,500.00 against the Respondent in this action, the Complainant has considered that Respondent violated the express provisions of Health and Safety Code section 1352, subdivision (a) and California Code of Regulations, title 28, section 1300.51 Exhibit F-2 when it failed to file its contract with SFM with the Department within 30 days of entering into the contract.
- 34. Complaint also considered that Respondent intentionally violated the express provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 28, section 1300.82 when it intentionally disregarded the Department's three separate requests for the date Respondent filed its agreement with General Electric during the Department's 2000 financial examination.
- 35. Finally, Complainant considered that Respondent failed to provide its contract with SFM to the Department, until the Department ordered it to do so by subpoena duces tecum.

26 | ///

27 | /

///

28 |

PRAYER

THEREFORE, Complainant prays that the Director assess an administrative penalty in the amount of \$7,500.00 against the Respondent for the commission of its violation of Health and Safety Code, section 1352 and California Code of Regulations, title 28, sections 1300.51 Exhibit F-2 and 1300.82, as alleged in this Accusation.

THEREFORE, Complainant also prays for such other and further relief, as the Director deems proper.

DATED: January 20, 2004

G. LEWIS CHARTRAND

General Counsel

Department of Managed Health Care

By:

ELLEN J. RIDDELL

Senior Counsel

Office of Enforcement